These are slides that I have presented at a seminar.

Covered is the ratemaking portion of CAS Exam 5. Not covered are the reserving topics on CAS Exam 5 which are covered in:

“Estimating Unpaid Claims Using Basic Techniques,” by Jacqueline F. Friedland,
Statement of Principles Regarding Property and Casualty Unpaid Claim Estimates, Casualty Actuarial Society, November 2014,

Use the bookmarks in the Navigation Panel in order to help you find what you want.

This provides another way to study the material. Some of you will find it helpful to go through a few sections at a time, either alone or with a someone else, pausing to do each of the problems included. Estimated time to go through all of the slides is about 35 hours.

Some of the problems were written by me and some are past exam questions so labeled.

All the material, problems, and solutions are in my study guide, sold separately. These slides are a useful supplement to my study guide, but are self-contained. There are references to page and problem numbers in the latest edition of my study guide, which you can ignore if you do not have my study guide.
The slides are in the same order as the sections of my study guide.
At the end, there are some additional questions for study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section #</th>
<th>Section Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rating Manuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ratemaking Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Exposures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Premium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>Losses and LAE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Other Expenses and Profit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>Overall Indication</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Traditional Risk Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Multivariate Risk Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Special Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Credibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Other Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Commercial Lines Rating Mechanisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Claims-Made Ratemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>CAS Principles of Ratemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Trending Procedures in Property/Casualty Insurance Ratemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>ASOP 12: Risk Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td><strong>Lifetime Value Analysis</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Recent Exam Questions by Section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>Spring 2013</th>
<th>Fall 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11, 12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16, 17</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18, 19</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>4, 5, 6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20, 21, 23, 24</td>
<td>6, 7, 17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7, 8</td>
<td>3, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>26, 27</td>
<td>9, 10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 9</td>
<td>4, 5, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13, 14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>30, 31, 32</td>
<td>14, 15, 16</td>
<td>12, 15</td>
<td>11, 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>33, 34</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13, 15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Question on AAA “Risk Classification” no longer on the syllabus: 2011 Q.12.

Starting in Spring 2011, Basic Ratemaking and Basic Reserving were put on the same exam.
Prior to that, Basic Reserving was on Exam 6 rather than Exam 5.

Starting in 2013, Exam 5 was given in both the Spring and Fall.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Spring 2014</th>
<th>Fall 2014</th>
<th>Spring 2015</th>
<th>Fall 2015</th>
<th>Spring 2016</th>
<th>Fall 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>1, 4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>8, 15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1, 4</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6, 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2, 4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6, 8</td>
<td>8, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6, 8</td>
<td>9, 11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11, 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5, 7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9, 11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question on AAA “Risk Classification” no longer on the syllabus: 5/15 Q.12.

For the 2015 exams, “Personal Automobile Premiums: An Asset Share Pricing Approach for Property-Casualty Insurance,” by Sholom Feldblum, was dropped from the syllabus.

For the 2016 exams: the I.S.O. P.P. Auto Manual and Chapter 2 of Basic Ratemaking were dropped from the syllabus. AAA Committee on Risk Classification, “Risk Classification Statement of Principles,” June 1980, was replaced by Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 12: Risk Classification (for all Practice Areas), American Academy of Actuaries, 2005.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Spring 2017</th>
<th>Fall 2017</th>
<th>Spring 2018</th>
<th>Spring 2018</th>
<th>Fall 2018</th>
<th>Spring 2019</th>
<th>Fall 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3, 5, 16</td>
<td>2, 3, 4</td>
<td>2, 5</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2, 4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5, 13</td>
<td>2, 5, 15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6, 13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10, 11, 12</td>
<td>7, 8, 11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10, 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10, 12</td>
<td>10, 13</td>
<td>13, 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2, 4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3, 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>6, 7, 10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both the original and makeup exams for Spring 2018 were via computer based testing using Excel. For Fall 2018, the CAS went back to the traditional paper exam, using a calculator.

For Spring 2019 and Fall 2019, Exam 5 used the paper-and-pencil format of exam administration.

The CAS hopes to use an improved version of computer based testing at some point in the future. Be sure to check the CAS webpage for any developing news on this issue.
Read the CAS pdf on
Bloom’s Taxonomy of Question Writing

There is no firm dividing line between levels.
The CAS, particularly on the Fellowship Exams, has been testing at the higher levels.
Since 2007 the total number of “points” on the exam has decreased from 100.

For example, Fall 2013 had 58.5 points.

Thus the point value of older exam questions is not directly comparable to your exam.

For example, a 3 point question in 2007 was 3% of the 4 hour exam, while a 3 point question in Fall 2013 was about 5% of the 4 hour exam.
1.9 (1 point)

Given the following information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calendar Year 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written premium</td>
<td>$550 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned premium</td>
<td>$580 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissions</td>
<td>$44 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes, licenses and fees</td>
<td>$12 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General expenses</td>
<td>$31 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Acquisition Expenses</td>
<td>$18 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAE ratio (to loss)</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined ratio</td>
<td>106%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculate the 2015 operating expense ratio.
1.9. Take the ratio of General Expense to Earned premium: 31 / 580 = 5.34%.
Take the ratio of Commissions, Other Acquisition plus Taxes, licenses and fees to Written premiums: 
(44 + 12 + 18) / 550 = 13.45%.
Underwriting Expense Ratio:
5.34% + 13.45% = 18.79%.

Combined Ratio =
Loss & LAE Ratio + UW Expense Ratio.
⇒ 106% = (1.12) (Loss Ratio) + 18.79%.
⇒ Loss Ratio = 77.87%.
⇒ Ratio of LAE to Earned Premium:
(12%)(77.87%) = 9.34%.

Operating expense ratio =
LAE / Earned Premium + UW Expense Ratio
= 9.34% + 18.79% = 28.13%.

Comment: Similar to 5, 5/11, Q.8.
“Rating Manuals”

Chapter 2 of Basic Ratemaking

Howard Mahler

Exam 5
While no longer on the syllabus, Section 2 of Basic Ratemaking contains some useful background material.

I suggest that you look at one example of a rating algorithm.
Rating Manuals are used by insurers to determine the premium that will be charged a particular insured for a particular policy.

The information in a rating manual can be divided into three pieces:

Rules
Rate Pages
the Rating Algorithm.

In addition, the insurer will have Underwriting Guidelines which help determine how the rating manual is used.
P.32. Rating Algorithm for Homeowners Example:

R1 = Amount of Insurance Relativity
R2 = Territory Relativity
R3 = Protection Class / Construction Type Rel.
R4 = UnderWriting Tier Relativity
C1 = Deductible Credit Factor
C2 = 1 - (New Home Discount) - (Claims-Free Discount).
C3 = 1 - Multi-Policy Discount

Premium = (Base Rate) R1 R2 R3 R4 C1 C2 C3 + Increased Jewelry Coverage Rate + Increased Liability / Medical Coverage Rate + Policy Fee.
“Ratemaking Data”

Chapter 3 of Basic Ratemaking

Howard Mahler

Exam 5
Data is used by actuaries for many purposes including ratemaking.

For indications of the overall rate level, summarized data on:
- exposures
- premiums
- Losses
- ALAE
Data on ULAE and underwriting expenses is usually from the insurer’s accounting system.

An aggregate amount may be allocated to line of insurance and/or state.
For classification and territory ratemaking, more detailed data on exposures, premiums, losses, and ALAE is used, broken down by class and territory.

For individual risk rating, data from a particular insured is used.

Actuaries also use detailed information for special studies.
Ratemaking data is usually aggregated into years, as discussed below.

An insurer’s data is often contained in a policy data base with exposures and premiums, and a separate claims data base with losses and alae.

Actuaries and underwriters rely on information besides the exposure, premium, loss, and expense data from their own insurer.
Data Quality:

Any analysis performed by an actuary is no better than the quality of the data that goes into that analysis.

For example, assume that under the Workers Compensation Statistical Plan one insurer mistakenly reports $500 million of payroll in a class for an employer rather than $5 million.

Assume that this results in about twice as much reported exposure in total for that class as was actually the case.

Then the reported pure premium will be half of the actual pure premium.

Unless this mistake is caught and corrected, the class relativity that results from any actuarial analysis will be erroneous!
Years of Data:

Premiums and losses can be organized in different ways.

Calendar Year: All premiums and losses related to a given calendar year.

Calendar year data might be used for ratemaking on lines on insurance where claims are reported and settled quickly, such as Automobile Collision.

Fiscal Year: Similar to a Calendar Year; however a fiscal year starts on a date other than January 1.

For example, a fiscal year might start on July 1 and end on the subsequent June 30.
**Accident Year**: All the losses with accident dates during a given year.

For example, an accident occurs on March 15, 2003. All payments related to claims resulting from this accident, are part of Accident Year 2003, regardless of when those payments are made.

Calendar/Accident Year 2003 would consist of premiums for Calendar Year 2003 and losses for Accident Year 2003.
Policy Year: All premiums and losses related to policies with effective dates during a given year.

For example, an accident occurs on March 15, 2003. If the policy providing coverage was written effective November 1, 2002, then all payments related to claims resulting from this accident, are part of Policy Year 2002, regardless of when those payments are made.
Policy Year data has the best match of losses to premiums, with Accident Year next, and Calendar Year worst.

Calendar Year data is available quickest, with Accident Year next, and Policy Year slowest.
Report Date: the date the insurer receives notice of the claim.

**Report Year**: All the losses on claims for which the insurer first receives notice during a given year.

An accident occurs on March 15, 2003. If on June 5, 2004 the insurer first receives notice of a claim resulting from this accident, then all payments related to this claim, are part of Report Year 2004, regardless of when those payments are made.

Report Year data can be used for ratemaking for lines of insurance with a long reporting lag for claims, such as Medical Malpractice Insurance.

Such lines of insurance are often written on a claims-made rather than occurrence basis.
Policy Database:

Detailed information on exposures and premiums.

Each data element is reported in its own “field”. A group of fields is called a “record”.

A very simplified example of a record:
Field 1: Policy Number.
Field 2: Policy Effective Date.
Field 3: State.
Field 4: Premium.
Typical fields for each record on the policy database:

- Policy identifier
- Risk identifier(s)
- Relevant dates
- Premium
- Exposure
- Characteristics: rating variables, underwriting variables, etc.
For use in ratemaking, records would usually be combined to create the form of the data to be used by the actuary.

For example, if a policy were canceled midterm one would need to combine records to get the net premium and exposure for that policy.
Claims Database:

Detailed information on the losses and alae.

Typical fields for each record:
• Policy identifier
• The risk identifier(s)
• Claim identifier.
• Claimant identifier.
• Relevant loss dates: the date of loss,
  the report date, and the transaction date.
• Claim status: open, closed, or reopened.
• Reopen date.
• Claim count.
• Paid loss.
• Event identifier: for example a catastrophe.
• Case reserve.
• Allocated loss adjustment expense.
• Salvage/subrogation.
• Characteristics.
Accounting Information:

Underwriting expenses and unallocated loss adjustment expenses (ULAE) are not collected in either the policy or claims databases; they are collected in the insurer’s accounting system.
Data from Outside Sources:

Actuaries working at an insurer use many sources of information other than data from inside the insurer, called as “external data”.

Statistical agents such as ISO and NCCI collect information from many insurers within a given state. In some cases, one can obtain this data totaled across all the reporting insurers.

The “Fast Track Monitoring System” tracks quarterly industry data for personal lines.
Other sources of aggregated data or reports:

A.M. Best

Highway Loss Data Institute (HLDI)

Insurance Research Council (IRC),

Institute for Business and Home Safety (IBHS)

National Insurance Crime Bureau (NICB)

Workers Compensation Research Institute (WCRI)
Insurers can often obtain competitor's rate filings and rate manuals from insurance departments.

Sometimes Consumer Price Indices (CPIs) are used to help estimate trends.

The U.S. Census has lots of potentially valuable information, for example: population density, weather, thefts, and annual miles driven.

Credit scores of insureds, purchased from a firm that specializing in this, can be used for classification and/or underwriting.
Additional information that may be used:

• Personal automobile insurance: vehicle characteristics, department of motor vehicle records

• Homeowners insurance: distance to fire station

• Earthquake insurance: type of soil

• Workers’ compensation: OSHA inspection data
3.1 (2 points) Briefly define each of the following:
Calendar Year
Accident Year
Policy Year
Report Year
3.1. Calendar Year: All premiums and losses related to a given calendar year.

Accident Year: All the losses with accident dates during a given year. Premiums are those for the corresponding Calendar year.

Policy Year: All premiums and losses related to policies with effective dates during a given year.

Report Year: All the losses on claims for which the insurer first receives notice during a given year.
Unit Statistical Plan Data
(Including Large Deductible Policies)
Composite Policy Year 1999/2000
(Policies with effective dates 7/1/99 to 6/30/00)
at First Report
(18 months after policy effective date, there are 5 reports)

Class Code: 5, Farm: Nursery Employees & Drivers
Industry Group: Goods & Services  Hazard Group: 2

Payroll $12,761,866
Manual Premium $456,751
Standard Premium $471,494
Total Losses $202,093

Loss Ratio to Manual Premium 44.2%
Loss Ratio to Standard Premium 42.9%
Pure Premium (per $100 payroll) $1.58
Class Code: 5, First Report

Indemnity Losses 133,030
Medical Losses 69,063
Claim Count 60

Fatal: Claim Count 0

Permanent Total: Claim Count 0

Major Partial Disability:
Indemnity 36,690   Medical 3,335   Claim Count 1

Minor Partial Disability:
Indemnity 16,717   Medical 4,986   Claim Count 1

Temporary Total:
Indemnity 79,623   Medical 48,629   Claim Count 11

Medical Only: Losses 12113   Claim Count 47
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>377</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>347</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>231</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1st report for AY93 is as of 12/31/93.
2nd report for AY93 is as of 12/31/94.
Older years would have perhaps 20 reports.
Can separate Indemnity and Medical.
Can separate Paid or can have Total Incurred (including Bulk plus IBNR.)

*ALAE is reported (separately) for years 1994 and subsequent.*
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Some Examples of Exposure Bases:

Automobile: car-years or car-months.

Workers Compensation: $100 of payroll.

Homeowners: House Years.

General Liability:
Sales (mercantile or manufacturing) or payroll (contracting).
Desirable Properties of an Exposure Base:

1. Proportional to Expected Loss

2. Practical

3. Historical Precedence
"Miles driven" is a potential exposure base for Personal Auto Liability Insurance. Give one reason for and one reason against its use as an exposure base.
Possible reasons for using miles driven:
The more miles driven, the larger the probability of an accident and therefore claims costs to the insurer. The exposure to loss is approximately proportional to the miles driven. Miles driven is responsive to changes in the exposure to loss, while car years is not.

Possible reasons for not using miles driven:
Miles driven could be difficult and costly to verify. Miles driven is subject to manipulation by either the insured or agent.

Car years are currently used, and one should avoid changing exposure bases due to problems caused by transitioning.

Comment: If the exposure was changed from car years to miles driven, the class/territory relativities would change. For example, part of the reason senior citizens are charged less than average per car-year, is because on average they drive less. It is likely that the expected losses per mile driven, as opposed to per car-year, are higher than average for senior citizens.
Written Exposures: Written Exposures are the exposures insured by a policy.

An annual automobile policy that insures one car, has one car year.

A six-month automobile policy that insures one car, has a half of a car year.

A six-month automobile policy that insures three cars, has one and a half car years.

All of the exposures written on a policy go into the calendar year, calendar quarter, or policy year in which the policy effective date falls.
Earned Exposures:

Coverage is provided under an insurance policy for a period of time. For an annual policy written December 1, at the end of the year only 1/12 of the coverage was provided. If this policy covers one car, then at the end of the year 1/12 of a car year has been earned.

Earned Exposures are the portion of exposures for which coverage has been provided by a certain date.
Exercise: An annual policy covering one home is written with effective date March 1, 2008. What does it contribute in written and earned exposures to different Calendar Years?

1 written house year to Calendar Year 2008, and no written house years to Calendar Year 2009. 5/6 earned house year to Calendar Year 2008, and 1/6 earned house years to Calendar Year 2009.
While for Calendar Years of data written and earned differ, for Policy Years of data they are the same at ultimate.

Exercise: An annual policy covering one home is written with effective date March 1, 2008. What are the Policy Year written and earned exposures as of December 31, 2008? What are the Policy Year written and earned exposures as of December 31, 2009?

As of December 31, 2008, 1 written house year to Policy Year 2008.

As of December 31, 2008, 5/6 earned house year to Policy Year 2008.

As of December 31, 2009, 1 written house year to Policy Year 2008.

As of December 31, 2009, 1 earned house year to Policy Year 2008.
One can add up the contributions of many policies.

For example, one might aggregate the exposures for all of an insurer’s policies for a certain line of insurance in certain given state.

One might aggregate the exposures separately by class and territory.
Unearned Exposures:

Unearned Exposures are the portion of exposures for which coverage has not been provided by a certain date.

Exercise: An annual policy covering one home is written with effective date March 1, 2008. What are the unearned exposures as of December 31, 2008? What are the unearned exposures as of December 31, 2009?

1/6 unearned house year as of 12/31/2008. No unearned house years as of 12/31/2009.

For an individual policy at any given point in time:

**Written Exposures = Earned Exposures + Unearned Exposures.**
Inforce Exposures:

Inforce Exposures are the number of exposures for which coverage is being provided at a given point in time.

The 19th Century Insurance Company provides homeowners insurance.

On July 23, 2009, if all the homes they insure in a certain state were destroyed, they would have to pay to replace 10,000 homes.

Then, as of July 23, 2009, they have 10,000 inforce exposures in this state.

This would be 10,000 inforce homes; inforce exposures have no time duration attached to them.

Inforce exposures represent a snapshot of the insurer’s book of business at a given point in time.
Sometimes in-force exposures will be given as for example *car-years* rather than cars. See for example 5, 11/16, Q.1b.
4.13 (3 points) The following 6 policies are written:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Number of Automobiles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1, 2004</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1, 2004</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 1, 2005</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1, 2005</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 1, 2005</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 1, 2005</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of December 31, 2005, determine the following:

- Calendar Year 2005 Written Exposures,
- Calendar Year 2005 Earned Exposures,
- Unearned Exposures,
- and the Inforce Exposures.
4.13. The four policies written during 2005, contribute all of their exposures to the written exposures for Calendar Year 2005.

The written exposures for Calendar Year 2005 are:
$3 + 1/2 + 2/2 + 1 = 5.5 \text{ cars years}$.
For the first policy written Sept. 1, 2004, a 6 month policy which expires February 28, 2005, 1/3 of its exposures are during Calendar Year 2005.
For the third policy written Jan. 1, 2005, an annual policy that expires on Dec. 31, 2005, all of its exposures are during Cal. Year 2005.
For the fourth policy written June 1, 2005, a 6 month policy which expires Nov. 30, 2005, all of its exposures are during Cal. Year 2005.
For the sixth policy written Dec. 1, 2005, an annual policy that expires on Nov. 30, 2006, 1/12 of its exposures are during Cal. Year 2005.
Calendar Year 2005 Earned Exposures are:
\[(1/3)(1/2) + (3/4)(2) + (1)(3) + (1)(1/2) + (5/6)(2/2) + (1/12)(1) = 6.083 \text{ car years}.\]

On December 31, 2005, only the last two policies have Unearned Exposures:
\[(1 - 5/6)(2/2) + (1 - 1/12)(1) = 1.083 \text{ car years}.\]
Alternately, for the four policies written in 2005, their Calendar Year 2005 earned exposures are:

\[(1)(3) + (1)(1/2) + (5/6)(2/2) + (1/12)(1) = 4.416 \text{ car years.}\]

The written exposures for Calendar Year 2005 are:

\[3 + 1/2 + 2/2 + 1 = 5.5 \text{ car years.}\]

Therefore, the unearned exposures at the end of 2005 are: \[5.5 - 4.416 = 1.083 \text{ car years.}\]

On December 31, 2005, the 3rd, 5th, and 6th policies are providing coverage for a total of six automobiles; the Inforce Exposures are \text{6 cars.}\n
\textbf{Comment:} Similar to 5, 5/05, Q.14.
Note that inforce exposures do \textbf{not} have any time duration associated with them.
Cancelations:

An annual policy covering one car is written with effective date September 1, 2009.

If the policy is canceled on December 1, 2009, then only 3 months of coverage was provided, and there is 1/4 car year contributed to both written and earned exposures for Calendar Year 2009.
If instead the policy is canceled on March 1, 2010, then only 6 months of coverage was provided.

At the end of 2009 we would not know that the policy would be canceled.

There would be 1 car year contributed to Calendar Year 2009 written exposures and -1/2 car year contributed to Calendar Year 2010 written exposures.

The total written exposures add up to the correct 1/2 car year.

1/3 of a car year is contributed to the earned exposures for Calendar Year 2009, and 1/6 of a car year is contributed to the earned exposures for Calendar Year 2010, for a total of 1/2 car year.
Endorsements:

An annual policy covering one car is written with effective date September 1, 2009.

If the policy is endorsed on March 1, 2010 to add another car, then the second car will be provided with only 6 months of coverage.

As of the end of 2009 we would not know that this policy would be endorsed.

This policy contributes 1 car year to Calendar Year 2009 written exposures and 1/2 car year to Calendar Year 2010 written exposures.

From the first car, there are 4/12 car years earned in CY09 and 8/12 car years earned in CY10.

From the second car, there are 6/12 car years earned in CY10.

This policy contributes 4/12 car years to CY09 earned exposures and 14/12 car years to CY10 earned exposures, for a total of 1.5 car years.
Diagrams:

One can draw diagrams to represent exposures and premium.

Such diagrams are the basis of the parallelogram method of putting premiums on-level.

Some people will find them helpful for dealing with exposures.
Exposure Trend:

Certain exposure bases are inflation sensitive.

For example, sales or payroll are expected to increase with inflation.

Therefore, prior to being used to get an overall rate indication for General Liability Insurance or Workers Compensation, such exposures bases are adjusted from the past inflation level to the inflation level expected in the future.

An exposure trend factor will be applied to the historical premiums.
Assume that Workers Compensation Policy Year 2010 payrolls were $100 million.

We are trying to make new rates to be effective during Policy Year 2013.

We think that exposures will increase due to inflation by 2% per year.

Then we project the reported payrolls for three years of inflation:

\[(\$100 \text{ million}) \times (1.02^3) = \$106.1 \text{ million}.\]
Audits:

Certain exposure bases such as sales or payroll are usually subject to audit.

When written a certain Commercial General Liability policy assumed $80 million in sales for purposes of determining the preliminary premium.

Sometime after expiration of the policy the actual sales during the policy period are determined and used to calculate the final premium.

The actual sales will turn out to be different than $80 million.

Such audits can have a number of effects on exposures.
A policy written in one year can contribute to the Calendar Year written exposures for the next year.

A policy is written March 1, 2010, with an initial estimate of sales of $80 million.

At final audit on July 1, 2011, the sales are determined to be $82 million.

Then $2 million of written exposures are contributed to Calendar Year 2011.

If instead the audited sales were $77 million, then this policy would contribute -$3 million of written exposures to Calendar Year 2011.

See for example 5, 11/14, Q.2.
Policy Year written exposures take a while to be final; they develop.

For example, the audits of the policies written late in 2010 will not have been completed until sometime in early 2012.

Thus Policy Year 2010 written exposures will not be final until then.
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Premium is the amount the insured pays for insurance coverage.

Written premiums: those dollars of premiums on policies written during the period in question. Written premium ⇔ written exposures.

Premiums are earned as coverage is provided throughout the policy term. Normally, premium is earned at a constant rate over the policy effective period. Earned premium ⇔ earned exposures.
Unearned Premiums are the portion of premiums for which coverage has **not** been provided by a certain date.

Unearned premium ⇔ unearned exposures.

For an individual policy at any given point in time:

**Written Premiums =**

Earned Premiums + Unearned Premiums.
Calendar Year Earned Premium:
CY Written Premium minus the change in unearned premium reserves during a given year.

Exercise: Premium Written in CY 2016: 400 million.
Unearned Premium as of December 31, 2015: 210 million.
Unearned Premium as of December 31, 2016: 190 million.
Determine the earned premium for CY 2016.

400 - (190 - 210) = 420 million.

Comment: Unearned premium reserve decreased, and thus the earned premium increased.
Calendar Year Data:

2006 Calendar Year **Written** Premium: 
Premium on policies with effective dates from 1/1/06 to 12/31/06.

2006 Calendar Year **Earned** Premium: 
Premiums earned during 2006. 
Includes for example 1/4 of the premium for an annual policy with effective date 4/1/05, and 1/2 of the premium for an annual policy with effective date 7/1/06.

For any policy, the average date of earning is the midpoint of the period for which the policy provides coverage: the date of writing plus (policy term)/2.
For CY 2006 written premiums, the average date of writing is 7/1/06.

⇒ For CY 2006 written premiums, the average date of earning is: 7/1/06 + (policy term)/2.

For CY 2006 earned premiums, the average date of earning is 7/1/06.

⇒ For CY 2006 earned premiums, the average date of writing is: 7/1/06 - (policy term)/2.
Policy Year Data:

2006 Policy Year **Written** Premium: Premium on policies with effective dates from 1/1/06 to 12/31/06.

2006 Policy Year **Earned** Premium: Premiums earned on policies with effective dates from 1/1/06 to 12/31/06.

As of 12/31/06, only 3/4 of the premium for an annual policy with effective date 4/1/06 has been earned.

For any policy, the average date of earning is the midpoint of the period for which the policy provides coverage:
the date of writing plus (policy term)/2.

⇒ For PY2006 premiums, the average date of earning is: 7/1/06 + (policy term)/2.
For annual policies, the average date of earning for Policy 2006 is: 7/1/06 + 6 months = 1/1/07.
For 6-month policies, the average date of earning for Policy 2006 is: $7/1/06 + 3$ months $= 10/1/06$. 

![Diagram](7/1/07)

Average Date of Writing: $7/1/06$

Average Date of Earning: $10/1/06$
Premium Development:

Policy Year Earned Premiums develop as they become more mature. At ultimate, Policy Year Earned Premiums are equal to Policy Year Written Premiums.

For example, for a line of insurance without audits, we might have for PY2010 Premiums:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>@12/31/10</th>
<th>@12/31/11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Written</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PY2010 as of 12/31/11 would be referred to as first report.
As discussed previously, certain exposure bases such as sales or payroll are usually subject to audit.

Therefore, Policy Year written exposures take a while to be final, and therefore so do Policy Year written premiums. Policy Year written premiums develop either upwards or downwards.

For example, the audits of the policies written late in 2010 will not have been completed until sometime in early 2012.

Thus Policy Year 2010 written exposures will not be final until then.
For example, for a line of insurance with premium audits, we might have for PY2010 Premiums:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Written</th>
<th>Earned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@12/31/10</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@6/30/11</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@12/31/11</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@6/30/12</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actuaries determine premium development factors based on past historical data.
For example, here is a triangle of Workers Compensation Earned Premium by Policy Year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Report 1</th>
<th>Report 2</th>
<th>Report 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PY 1</td>
<td>1,413</td>
<td>1,440</td>
<td>1,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY 2</td>
<td>1,238</td>
<td>1,263</td>
<td>1,262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY 3</td>
<td>1,267</td>
<td>1,275</td>
<td>1,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY 4</td>
<td>1,134</td>
<td>1,145</td>
<td>1,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY 5</td>
<td>1,067</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY 6</td>
<td>1,014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We calculate a triangle of link ratios:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 to 2</th>
<th>2 to 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Policy Year 1</td>
<td>1.019</td>
<td>1.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Year 2</td>
<td>1.021</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Year 3</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Year 4</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Year 5</td>
<td>1.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
While the pattern is somewhat stable, there is variation from year to year and over time. The actuary needs to select factors to use to develop immature years to ultimate. Often this involves taking an average or weighted average of recent factors.
For illustration, let us use the average of the latest two factors:

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
1 \text{ to } 2 & 2 \text{ to } 3 \\
2\text{-year average} & 1.014 & 1.004
\end{array}
\]

Premium development factors to ultimate, assuming third report is ultimate:

Report 1 to Ultimate: \((1.014)(1.004) = 1.018\).
Report 2 to Ultimate: 1.004.

We use these development factors to estimate the ultimate earned premiums for the immature Policy Years:

Policy Year 5: \((1.004)(1,085.465) = 1,090\).
Policy Year 6: \((1.018)(1,014.239) = 1,032\).
Inforce Premiums:

Inforce premium is the total amount of full-term premium for all policies in effect at a given date.

Inforce premiums ⇔ inforce exposures

Care must be taken with the interpretation of inforce premiums. If an insurer were to switch from annual policies to six-month policies, then its premium inforce at any given point in time would be half of what it was.
“As in-force premium is the best estimate of the company’s mix of business as of a given date, the most recent in-force premium is often used to measure the impact of a rate change on an existing portfolio of customers.”

For example, if an insurer’s private passenger automobile book of business in the state of Franklin has in-force premiums of $50 million, then a 10% rate increase would produce about $5 million in extra premium per policy period.

If these are annual policies, that would be $5 million per year; however, if these are six-month policies, then that would be $5 million per half year, or $10 million per year.
5.73 (5, 5/03, Q.10) (1 point) A 12-month policy is written on March 1, 2002 for a premium of $900. As of December 31, 2002, which of the following is true?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year 2002 Written Premium</th>
<th>Calendar Year 2002 Earned Premium</th>
<th>Inforce Premium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. $900</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. $750</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. $900</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>$750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. $750</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>$750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. $900</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5, 5/03, Q.10. E.

All of written premium is assigned to the calendar year in which the policy was written, so there is $900 in CY 02 written premium.

The earned premium is apportioned to calendar years based on the coverage provided.

10/12 of the 12 month effective period is during 2002, so there is: 
(10/12)($900) = $750 in CY 02 earned premium.

At 12/31/02, the policy is still in force, so there is $900 in inforce premium.

Comment: Eventually, this policy will have: 
(2/12)($900) = $150 in CY 03 earned premium.
Extension of Exposures:

In order to be used in a rate indication, the historical premiums must be brought to the current rate level.

There are two different techniques:
- extension of exposures
- parallelogram method.

Using Extension of Exposures, each policy is rerated using the current rates.
5.27. (2 points) Given the following information:

- All policies have six-month terms.
- Policies are written uniformly during each six-month period and cannot be cancelled.
- The rating algorithm is:
  
  \[(\text{base rate}) \times (\text{class factor}) + (\text{expense fee})\].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective Date of Rates</th>
<th>Base Rate Per Exposure</th>
<th>Class Factor A</th>
<th>Class Factor B</th>
<th>Expense Fee Per Exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2015</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>$40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2016</td>
<td>$420</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 1, 2017</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using the extension of exposures method, calculate the calendar year 2016 earned premium at current rate level.
5.27. All policies are 6-month, so policies effective January 1, 2015 - June 30, 2015 contribute nothing to the calendar year 2016 earned exposures, policies effective July 1, 2015 - Dec. 31, 2015 contribute on average half their exposures, policies effective January 1, 2016 - June 30, 2016 contribute all of their exposures, and policies effective July 1, 2016 - December 31, 2016 contribute on average half their exposures.

At the current rate level, class A pays: $(450)(1.00) + $50 = $500,$
while class B pays: $(450)(1.20) + $50 = $590.$

CY 2016 earned premium at current rate level is:

$\begin{align*}
(500) \left( \frac{250}{2} + 300 + \frac{400}{2} \right) (1000) \\
+ (590) \left( \frac{150}{2} + 200 + \frac{300}{2} \right) (1000)
\end{align*}
$ = $563.25$ million.

Comment: Similar to 5, 11/13, Q.2.
The expense constant is earned over time, just as with any other premium.
Parallelogram Method:

When an actuary is using data from his insurer, extension of exposures can usually be used. When the actuary is acting as a consultant or is using data for the entire insurance industry, extension of exposures may not be feasible. Where extension of exposures is not practical, the actuary will use the Parallelogram Method.
The Parallelogram Method uses approximate assumptions to calculate an “on-level factor” to be multiplied by the historical premiums for a Calendar Year or Policy Year in order to bring them on-level. It is assumed that exposures are written at a constant rate.
1. Determine the timing and amount of the overall rate changes.
2. Calculate the cumulative rate level index for each different rate level.
3. Calculate the weight for each group of policies written at different rate levels.
4. Calculate the average rate level index for the appropriate Calendar Year or Policy Year.
5. Calculate the on-level factor as the ratio of the current cumulative rate level index and the average cumulative rate level index for the appropriate year.
5.55 (6, 5/97, Q.19) (1 point) You are given:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Rate Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/1/94</td>
<td>+5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/95</td>
<td>+13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/96</td>
<td>-3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- All policies are 12 month policies.
- Policies are written uniformly throughout the year.

Using the parallelogram method, determine the on-level premium factor, to bring calendar year 1995 earned premium to current rate level.
### 6, 5/97, Q.19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Rate Level Change Factor</th>
<th>Rate Level (1/1/94 taken as = 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1/94</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/94</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>1.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/95</td>
<td>1.130</td>
<td>1.1865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/96</td>
<td>.970</td>
<td>1.1509</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Area A = \((1/4)^2/2 = 1/32 = 0.03125\).

Area C = \((1/2)^2/2 = 1/8 = 0.125\).

Area B = 1 - (Area A + Area C) = 0.84375.

Average rate for calendar year 1995 earned prem. = \((0.03125)(1) + (0.84375)(1.050) + (0.125)(1.1865)\) = 1.0655.
On level Factor = \( \text{Current Rate Level} / \text{Average Rate Level} \) C.Y. 95 Earned Premium = \( \frac{1.1509}{1.0655} = 1.080 \).

Comment: Basic calculation you must know how to do!

If for example, the 1995 Earned Premium were $100 million, then brought onto the current rate level 1995 Earned Premium would be:

\( (1.080)(\$100 \text{ million}) = \$108 \text{ million} \).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Rate Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/1/94</td>
<td>+5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/95</td>
<td>+13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/96</td>
<td>-3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.56 (1 point)
Using the information from 6, 5/97, Q.19, determine the on-level premium factor, in order to bring calendar year 1995 written premium to current rate level.
5.56. The rate level index computation is the same. However, the diagram for written premium uses vertical lines rather than sloped lines.

Area A = Area B = 1/2.

Average rate for calendar year 1995 written prem. = \((0.5)(1.050) + (0.5)(1.1865)\) = 1.11825.

On level Factor = \(\frac{\text{Current Rate Level}}{\text{Average Rate Level C.Y. 95 Written Premium}}\) = \(\frac{1.1509}{1.11825}\) = 1.029.

Comment: Many of use would not have to draw a diagram. One makes no use of the policy length.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Rate Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/1/94</td>
<td>+5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/95</td>
<td>+13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/96</td>
<td>-3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5.57 (1 point)**

Using the information from 6, 5/97, Q.19, except with 6-month policies, determine the on-level premium factor, in order to bring calendar year 1995 earned premium to current rate level.
5.57. The rate level index computation is the same. However, in the diagram the lines have slope of $1/(1/2) = 2$, rather than 1.

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
& 1/1/94 & 1/1/96 \\
10/1/94 & \text{A} & \text{B} \\
4/1/94 & & 7/1/95 \\
\end{array}
\]


On level Factor = \textbf{Current Rate Level} / \textbf{Average Rate Level C.Y. 95 Earned Premium} = \frac{1.1509}{1.0841} = 1.062.

Comment: On level factor is less than when there were annual policies, since here more of the premium is earned under the new higher rates. See 6, 5/98, Q. 41.
Effective Date | Rate Change
---|---
4/1/94  | +5.0%
7/1/95  | +13.0%
4/1/96  | -3.0%

**5.58 (2 points)**

Using the information from 6, 5/97, Q.19, except with two-year policies, determine the on-level premium factor, in order to bring calendar year 1995 earned premium to current rate level. Assume there were no rate changes during 1993.
5.58. The rate level index computation is the same. However, in the diagram the lines have slope 1/2.

Area C = \((1/2)(1/2)(1/4) = 1/16 = .0625\).

Area A = difference of two triangles
= \((1/2)(5/4)(5/8) - (1/2)(1/4)(1/8) = 0.375\).

Area B = 1 - 0.0625 - 0.375 = 0.5625.

Average rate for calendar year 1995 earned prem.
= \((0.375)(1) + (0.5625)(1.050) + (0.0625)(1.1865)\)
= \(1.0398\).

On level Factor = \(1.1509/1.0398 = 1.107\).

Comment: See 5, 5/01, Q. 38.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Rate Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/1/94</td>
<td>+5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/1/95</td>
<td>+13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/96</td>
<td>-3.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5.59 (1 point)**

Using the information from 6, 5/97, Q.19, determine the on-level premium factor, in order to bring **Policy Year** 1995 premium to the current rate level.
5.59. The rate level index computation is the same. However, Policy Year 1995 (policies written during 1995) is represented by a parallelogram:

![Parallelogram Diagram]

Area $A = Area \ B = 1/2$.

Average rate for Policy Year 1995 premium $= (0.5)(1.050) + (0.5)(1.1865) = 1.11825$.

On level Factor $= 1.1509/1.11825 = 1.029$.

Comment: Many of us would not have to draw a diagram. It makes no difference in this case whether the premium is written or earned.
Law Amendments:

When the Workers Compensation Law in a state is changed, either increasing or decreasing benefits paid to injured workers, or when the medical fee schedule is revised, actuaries estimate the average overall effect on losses.

Then usually a corresponding change is made to the rates based on the impact of this “law amendment.”

While rate changes normally apply to new and renewal business, often rate changes due to law amendments apply to all outstanding policies.
For example, new higher benefits will be paid to workers injured in workplace accidents that occur on or after 7/1/10.

Therefore, Workers Compensation rates were increased by 10% on 7/1/10 in order to reflect this increase in benefits.

An annual policy written on 4/1/10, will cover accidents from 4/1/10 to 6/30/10, and from 7/1/10 to 3/31/11.
The lower benefit level applies to the first group of accidents, while the new higher benefit level applies to the second group of accidents.

Therefore, the rates that were in effect when this policy was written on 4/1/10 are inadequate for the coverage provided from 7/1/10 to 3/31/11.

The rate for this policy will be increased mid-term on 7/1/10. The lower rate will apply to the first 1/4 of the policy period, and the new higher rate will apply to last 3/4 of the policy period.

Thus this policy will pay \((10\%)(3/4) = 7.5\%) more due to the law amendment.
This differs from an ordinary rate change. If an insurer changed its rates after 4/1/10 for other than a law amendment, this policy would continue to use the rates in effect on 4/1/10.

⇒ Determining on-level factors for rate changes due to law amendments is somewhat different.

The law amendment rate change on all outstanding policies is represented by a vertical line.
5.82b (5, 5/04, Q.31b) (2 points) Given the following information, answer the questions below. Show all work.

- Policies are written uniformly throughout the year.
- Policies have a term of 12 months.
- The law amendment change affects all policies in force.

Assume the following rate changes:
- Experience rate change on October 1, 2001 = +7%
- Experience rate change on July 1, 2002 = +10%
- Law amendment change on July 1, 2003 = -5%

Calculate the factor needed to adjust policy year 2002 earned premium to current level.
5, 5/04, Q.31b.
Assume the rate level prior to 10/1/01 is 1.
Policy Year 2002 earned premiums:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Area} & 1/1/02 & 1/1/03 & 1/1/04 \\
\text{Area A} & 1/2 & 1.07 & \\
\text{Area B} & 1/2 - 1/8 = 3/8 & (1.07)(1.1) = 1.177 & \\
\text{Area C} & 1/8 & (1.07)(1.1)(0.95) = 1.118 & \\
\end{array}
\]

Average rate level for Policy Year 2002 earned premiums:
\[
\]
Current rate level: (1.07)(1.1)(0.95) = 1.118.
On-Level Factor: 1.118 / 1.116 = 1.002.
Premium Trend:

For some lines of insurance, even if the rate manual is kept the same, premiums will increase due to inflation.

For example, for Workers Compensation, even if the insured workers stay the same, the average weekly wages will increase with inflation, increasing payrolls, in turn increasing premiums.

For Homeowners Insurance, even if the set of insured homes remains constant, the value of insured homes will (usually) increase with inflation, in turn increasing premiums.

Even lines of insurance not affected by inflation can have their average premiums increase.
When computing loss ratios for use in a rate indication, we would want to adjust both the numerator, losses, and the denominator, premiums, for the same effects. The changes in losses over time will be adjusted for via loss trend.

The corresponding changes in premiums over time will be adjusted for via premium trend. We will discuss the “one-step” and “two-step” methods.

We need to put the average premiums in the trend series on the same level.

If a change has a one time effect on premium level, one should make a direct adjustment. If a change occurs over time, then premium trend may be more appropriate.
Written Premium Trend Series Example:

You are performing a rate indication, with a proposed effective date of January 1, 2008.

The proposed rates will be in effect for one year. 12 month policies are written.
You have the following data on premiums written, that is already adjusted for one-time, abrupt and measurable changes such as rate changes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ending Date</th>
<th>Quarterly Average Written Premium at Current Rate Level</th>
<th>Annual Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/31/02</td>
<td>393</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/31/02</td>
<td>395</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/31/03</td>
<td>396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/30/03</td>
<td>397</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/30/03</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/31/03</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/31/04</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/30/04</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/30/04</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/31/04</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>-1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/31/05</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/30/05</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/30/05</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/31/05</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/31/06</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/30/06</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/30/06</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/31/06</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/31/07</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/30/07</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
You also have premiums and losses by Calendar/Accident Year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Average Earned Prem. at Current Rate Level</th>
<th>Earned Premiums at Current Rate Level</th>
<th>Incurred Losses</th>
<th>Loss Ratio to Ultimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>392.11</td>
<td>5,234,501</td>
<td>4,346,582</td>
<td>83.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>398.72</td>
<td>6,528,923</td>
<td>4,234,733</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>401.04</td>
<td>6,030,067</td>
<td>4,863,410</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>403.37</td>
<td>5,810,650</td>
<td>3,989,632</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>413.93</td>
<td>5,620,354</td>
<td>3,689,457</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A 3% annual loss trend has been selected. Using the simpler one-piece premium trend method, a 1% annual premium trend is selected. Determine the projected loss ratio for each calendar/accident year.

For policies to be written from 1/1/08 to 12/31/08, the average date of writing is 7/1/08, and the average accident date is 6 months later, or 1/1/09.

The loss trend factors are computed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accident Year</th>
<th>Average Acc. Date</th>
<th>Loss Trend Period</th>
<th>Annual Loss Trend</th>
<th>Loss Trend Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>7/1/02</td>
<td>6.5 years</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>7/1/03</td>
<td>5.5 years</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>7/1/04</td>
<td>4.5 years</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>7/1/05</td>
<td>3.5 years</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>7/1/06</td>
<td>2.5 years</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For example, $1.03^{2.5} = 1.077$. 
Calendar Year 2002 earned premiums, have an average data of earning of 7/1/02, and an average date of writing 6 months earlier, or 1/1/02.

We trend to an average date of writing of 7/1/08.

The one-step premium trend factors to be applied to earned premiums are computed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Average Date</th>
<th>Premium Trend Period</th>
<th>Annual Trend</th>
<th>Premium Trend Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1/1/02</td>
<td>6.5 years</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1/1/03</td>
<td>5.5 years</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1/1/04</td>
<td>4.5 years</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1/1/05</td>
<td>3.5 years</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1/1/06</td>
<td>2.5 years</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For example, $1.016.5 = 1.067$. 
The projected loss ratios are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Acc. Year</th>
<th>Loss Ratio</th>
<th>Loss Trend Factor</th>
<th>Prem. Trend Factor</th>
<th>Projected Loss Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>83.0%</td>
<td>1.212</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>94.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td>1.056</td>
<td>72.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>1.046</td>
<td>88.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>73.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For CY2002: \((83.0\%) \times (1.212) / 1.067 = 94.3\%\).
Rather than trying to compromise on the selection of a single long-term trend, the more complicated two-step trending method, as its first step, divides the latest average written premium at current rate level by the average earned premium at current rate level for each year in the experience period.

Step 1 Premium Trend Factor =

\[
\frac{\text{Latest Year Written Premium in Trend Series}}{\text{Calendar Year Earned Premium}}
\]

Where all premiums are at the current rate level.
Using the **two-piece** premium trend method, in the second step a 1% annual premium trend is selected. Determine the projected loss ratio for each calendar/accident year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Average Earned Prem.</th>
<th>Latest Avg. W. P.</th>
<th>Step 1 Trend Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>392.11</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>1.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>398.72</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>1.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>401.04</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>1.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>403.37</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>1.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>413.93</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the two-piece premium trend method, for the second step an annual premium trend is selected.

The second step goes from the average date of the last point in the premium trend series to the midpoint of the proposed effective period of the new rates.

Based on the observed annual changes in the premium trend series, for example, a 1% annual premium trend is selected for the second step.
The average written premium at current rate level for the quarter ending 6/30/07, the last period shown in the trend series, is given as 418. The quarter of written premiums ending 6/30/07 has an average data of writing of 5/15/07.

For policies to be written from 1/1/08 to 12/31/08, the average date of writing is 7/1/08.
Thus the projection period is from 5/15/07 to 7/1/08, or 1.125 years.

The projection factor for premiums is:
\[1.011^{1.125} = 1.011.\]
The projected loss ratios are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Loss Ratio</th>
<th>Loss Trend</th>
<th>Step 1 Premium</th>
<th>Step 2 Premium</th>
<th>Proj. Loss Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>83.0%</td>
<td>1.212</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
<td>1.177</td>
<td>1.048</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>1.042</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>65.6%</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>1.010</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>69.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For example: \( \frac{(83.0\%) \times (1.212)}{(1.066) \times (1.011)} = 93.3\% \).
Use of Written Premium vs. Earned Premium in order to compute Premium Trend:

Since these trends will apply to historical earned premium at current rate level, it makes some sense to evaluate trends based on shifts in average earned premium.

On the other hand, written premium data is more recent; premium for a given policy is not earned until well after it is written.
5.39. (3 points) You are given the following information. Using a two-step trending procedure, answer the questions below. Show all work.

- The premium trend series consists of quarterly values from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2013: 1st Q 2010, 2nd Q 2010, ..., 3rd Q 2013, 4th Q 2013.
- Planned effective date is July 1, 2015.
- Rates are reviewed annually.
- Policies have a 6-month term.
- The trend will apply to calendar-accident year 2012 earned premium at current rate level.

a. (1 point) Calculate the beginning and ending dates for each of the Step 1 and Step 2 trend periods, assuming that the trend series consists of average written premium.

b. (1 point) Calculate the beginning and ending dates for each of the Step 1 and Step 2 trend periods, assuming that the trend series consists of average earned premium.

c. (1 point) Describe a situation when it may be more appropriate to use a two-step trending procedure, rather than a one-step trending procedure.
5.39. a. Average date of earning CY12 Premiums is 7/1/12, with an average date of writing 3 months earlier (6 month policies) or 4/1/12.

The last average written premium in the series is for the quarter ending 12/31/13; this has average date of writing of 11/15/13.

Thus the first step goes from 4/1/12 to 11/15/13.

The average date of writing for the effective period is six months past 7/1/15 or 1/1/16.

⇒ The second step goes from 11/15/13 to 1/1/16.
b. Average date of earning CY12 Premiums is 7/1/12.

The last average earned premium in the series is for the quarter 12/31/13, this has average date of earning of 11/15/13.

Thus the first step goes from 7/1/12 to 11/15/13.

The average date of writing for the effective period is six months past 7/1/15 or 1/1/16.

The average date of earning is 3 months later (6 month policies) or 4/1/16.

⇒ The second step goes from 11/15/13 to 4/1/16.
6 Month Policies.

b. Earned Premium:
   Step 1: from 7/1/12 to 11/15/13.
   Step 2: from 11/15/13 to 4/1/16.

   ![Diagram]

   Written:
   Step 1
   Average Written Date 4/1/12
   CY12 E.P.
   Average Earned Date 7/1/12
   Average Date of Latest Value in the Trend Series 11/15/13
   Eff. Date 7/1/15
   Average Earned Date 7/1/15
   Average Written Date 4/1/16
   Step 2

a. Written Premium:
   Step 1: from 4/1/12 to 11/15/13.
   Step 2: from 11/15/13 to 1/1/16.

See Figure 5.28 in Basic Ratemaking.
c. The two-step method would be more appropriate when there have been significantly different premium trends over the different periods of time involved.

The advantage of two-step trending is that it recognizes that there are situations where a single annual premium trend may not be appropriate for each year in the experience period.

Comment: Similar to 5, 5/04, Q.35.